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LECTURE-26 TURBULENCE MODELS-1
Main Task

Eddy Viscosity Models

1. General Mixing Length model
2. High $Re_t$ One-Eqn model
3. High $Re_t$ Two-Eqn model
Main Tasks - L26($\frac{1}{20}$)

1. In multidimensional turbulent wall flows, even if inner-layer universality is exploited, RANS eqns must be solved in the outer layers through modeling.

2. Thus, turbulent stresses $u'_i u'_j$ and heat fluxes $\rho cp u'_i T'$ must be modeled to recover lost information through averaging.

3. This recovery must be carried out in a general way so that the model need not be changed from one flow situation to another.

4. Imparting absolute generality to turbulence models has, however, turned out to be quite a difficult task.

5. Thirdly, the model must be economical; that is, the computational expense (and ease) must not be very much in excess of that which would be required for computation of a laminar flow under the same situation.
Two Main Approaches - L26(\(\frac{2}{20}\))

1. Relating \(\overline{u_i' u_j'}\) to the mean rate of strain \(S_{ij}\) through a property called the turbulent- or eddy- viscosity \(\mu_t\). This approach derives its inspiration from the Stokes’s stress-strain relations.

2. Recovering distribution of stresses from solution of transport equations for \(\overline{u_i' u_j'}\) in which convection and diffusion of this quantity is principally balanced by rates of its production and dissipation.

In this lecture, we shall consider the most popular Eddy-viscosity models.
The main idea - L26($\frac{3}{20}$)

1. The notion of $\mu_t$ introduced by Boussinesque can be generalised to read as:

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = \mu_t \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \rho \epsilon \delta_{ij}$$

where, $\mu_t$ is a property of the flow; not that of a fluid. $\mu_t$ is isotropic although its magnitude may vary with the position in the flow.

2. The term involving Kronecker delta $\delta_{ij}$ simply ensures that the sum of the normal stresses ($i = j$) will equal $2 \rho \epsilon$ and thus, the definition of TKE is retrieved since the sum of the strain rates ($\partial u_i/\partial x_i = 0$) is zero from requirement of continuity.

3. Using this model, number of unknowns ($u_i$ and $p$) equals number of RANS eqns when $\mu_t$ is modeled.
Characterising $\mu_t - L26\left(\frac{4}{20}\right)$

1. From kinetic theory, laminar viscosity $\mu$ is written in dimensionally correct form as

$$\mu = \rho \times l_{mfpl} \times \overline{u}_{mol}$$

where $l_{mfpl}$ is mean free path length and $\overline{u}_{mol}$ is average molecular velocity.

2. Analogously, $\mu_t$ is modeled as

$$\mu_t = \rho \times L \times |u'|$$

where $L$ and $|u'|$ are representative length and velocity scales of turbulent fluctuations.

3. The main task now is to represent $L$ and $|u'|$ universally.
General Mixing Length Model - L26(5/20)

1. Simplest model - $|u'| \propto l_m \times |\text{mean velocity gradient}|

2. Hence,

$$\mu_t = \rho_m l_m^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right\}^{0.5}$$

summation,

where $L = l_m$ is called Prandtl’s mixing length

$$l_m = \kappa \times n \times (1 - e^{-\xi})$$

$$\xi = \frac{1}{26} \frac{n}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_w}{\rho_m}} = \frac{n^+}{26}$$

$$l_m = 0.2 \times \kappa \times R$$

if $n^+ > 26$,

where $n$ is the normal distance from the nearest wall and $\kappa \approx 0.41$, $R$ is a characteristic dimension, and wall shear stress $\tau_w$ is evaluated from the product of $\mu$ and total velocity gradient $\partial V/\partial n|_{\text{wall}}$.
For 2D BLs, $\mu_t = \rho m l^2 m (\partial u / \partial y)$

For inner and outer wall boundary layers, from lecture 24

\[
I_m = \kappa \times y \times (1 - e^{-\frac{y^+}{A^+}})
\]

\[
A^+ = \frac{25}{a \left[ v_w^+ + b \left\{ \frac{p^+}{1 + c v_w^+} \right\} \right] + 1}
\]

For Free Shear Layers such as jets and wakes,

\[
I_m = \beta y_{1/2}
\]

where $y_{1/2}$ is the half-width of the shear layer and

$\beta = 0.225$ (a plane jet), $= 0.1875$ (a round jet),

$= 0.40$ (a plane wake).
The mixing length model predicts that $-\rho u'_i u'_j = 0$ where the strain rate $S_{ij} = 0$. In many situations this is not found. For example, in an annulus with outer wall rough and inner wall smooth, the plane of zero shear stress is closer to the smooth wall than the plane of zero vel gr.

Hence, we take the fluctuating velocity scale $|u'| = \sqrt{e}$. Then, $\mu_t = \rho \times L \times \sqrt{e}$ where $L =$ Integral Length Scale.

The distribution of TKE ($e$) is determined from

$$
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j} \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ u'_j (p' + \rho \frac{u'_i u'_i}{2}) - \mu \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j} \right] + \left( -\rho u'_i u'_j \right) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \right)^2
$$
Modeling TKE - L26($\frac{8}{20}$)

1. The turbulent diffusion term cannot be directly measured because no probe can simultaneously measure pressure and velocity fluctuations.

2. But, noting the redistributive character of this term, we may assume gradient diffusion. Hence,

$$-u'_j (p' + \rho \frac{u'_i u'_i}{2}) = \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j}$$

where, $\sigma_e$ is a turbulent Prandtl number for TKE.

3. Recall that when $Re_t$ is high, dissipation can be represented in terms of large scale fluctuations. Hence,

$$\mu \left( \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 = C_D \frac{\rho e^{3/2}}{L} = \rho \epsilon$$
Replacing $-\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'} = \mu_t S_{ij}$

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e}) \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j} \right]$$

$$+ \mu_t \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right] \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - C_D \frac{\rho e^{3/2}}{L}$$

where $C_D$ and $\sigma_e$ are expected to be universal constants when $Re_t = L \sqrt{\epsilon/\nu}$ is high (that is away from the wall and beyond the transition layer).
Determination of $C_D$ and $\sigma_e$ - L26($\frac{10}{20}$)

1. Recall that in the fully turbulent inner layer, production $\approx$ dissipation (lecture 24) and equilibrium conditions prevail.

2. In this layer, $\tau_t = \mu_t \frac{\partial V}{\partial n}$. Hence

$$\tau_t \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = \rho \sqrt{e} L \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} \right)^2 = C_D \frac{\rho e^{3/2}}{L}$$

where $V$ is vel. parallel to the wall and $n$ is normal distance.

3. Also, in this layer $\tau_t \approx \tau_w$. Hence, $(\tau_w/\rho)/e = u_T^2/e = \sqrt{C_D}$

4. Recall that $\tau_w \approx 0.3 \rho e$. Hence, $C_D \approx 0.09$

5. $\sigma_e$ is taken as 1.0 from numerical experiments in several flow situations.

6. Hence, the modeled TKE eqn can be solved. We must now specify $L$. 
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Specification of $L$ - L26(\textit{11/20})

1. $L$ is determined as follows. Consider

$$\mu_t \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} \right)^2 = C_D \frac{\rho \varepsilon^{3/2}}{L} \quad \text{(equilibrium condition)}$$

$$\mu_t = \rho \varepsilon^{0.5} L \quad \text{(definition)}$$

2. Eliminating $\varepsilon$ from these two equations,

$$\mu_t = C_D^{-0.5} \rho L^2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} \right)$$

3. Comparing this equation with eqn with mixing length model

$$L = C_D^{0.25} l_m = 0.5477 l_m \quad \rightarrow \quad l_m = \kappa y$$

4. With these specifications of $L$, $\mu_t$, $C_D$ and $\sigma_e$, TKE equation can be solved along with the RANS momentum equations. In general flows, however, further refinements are needed.
For the more general case of turbulent flows involving strong and variable pressure gradients, flow recirculation, effects of swirl or buoyancy etc., it is necessary to devise means for determining distribution of $L$ in multidimensional flows.

Dividing the energy spectrum $e(k)$ by wave number $k$ and integrating from 0 to $\infty$, an equation for $L$ in the spectral space can indeed be derived since, $L = \frac{1}{e} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e(k)}{k} \, dk$. However, the eqn is not tractable in the physical space.

A length-scale equation, however, need not necessarily have $L$ itself as its dependent variable; any combination of the form $Z = e^m L^n$ will suffice since $e$ can be known from solution of modeled TKE equation.
Proposals for $Z = e^m L^n$ Eqn - L26(13/20)

$$
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x_j} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_Z}) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_1 \frac{Z}{e} P - C_2 Z \frac{\rho \sqrt{\epsilon}}{L} + S(Z)
$$

where, $P = -\rho u'_i u'_j \partial u_i / \partial x_j$ and $C_1, C_2$ are constants when $Re_t = e^{0.5}L/\nu$ is high.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Dissipation Rate ( $\epsilon$ Eqn )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( $eL$ Eqn )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>Vorticity Fluctuation ( $e / L^2$ Eqn )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Turbulent viscosity ( $e^{0.5}L$ Eqn )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Computational experience suggests that for $Z = (e^{3/2} / L) \propto \epsilon$, $S(Z) = 0$ and $\sigma_Z = \text{const}$. Hence, Dissipation eqn preferred.
Dissipation eqn - 1 - L26(\(\frac{14}{20}\))

At high \(Re_t\), local isotropy prevails. Hence an eqn for 
\(\epsilon = \nu (\partial u'_i / \partial x_j)^2\) can be derived by differentiating N-S Eqn for \(u'_i\) w.r.t. \(x_j\) and then multiplying by \(2 \nu (\partial u'_i / \partial x_j)\). Time averaging gives exact \(\epsilon\) Eqn.

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + u_k \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k}\right] &= -\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ u'_k \left(\frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right] \\
- 2\nu \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_k} \right] \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} \\
- 2\nu \left[ \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} \right] - 2 \left[ \nu \frac{\partial^2 u'_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right]^2
\end{align*}
\]
Complex correlations can only be discerned from DNS.

\[
\text{Diffusion} = -\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( u'_k \left( \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ C_3 \frac{e^2}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right\} \rightarrow u'_j u'_k \propto \epsilon \text{ (assumption)}
\]

\[
\text{Generation} = -2\nu \left( \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_k} \right) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}
\]
\[
= C_1 \frac{u'_i u'_j}{\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon}{\partial x_j}
\]

\[
\text{last 2 terms} = -2\nu \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u'_k}{\partial x_j} - 2 \left( \nu \frac{\partial^2 u'_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right)^2
\]
\[
= C_2 \frac{e^2}{\epsilon} \text{ (Relevant in inertial subrange)}
\]
Dissipation eqn - 3 - L26(\(\frac{16}{20}\))

Modeled dissipation equation

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + u_k \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ (\nu + C_3 \frac{e^2}{\epsilon}) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right\}
\]

\[- C_1 \overline{u'_i u'_k} \frac{\epsilon}{e} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} - C_2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{e} \]

But \(\mu_t = \rho \sqrt{e} L = C_D \frac{\rho e^2}{\epsilon}\) Hence,

\[
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + u_k \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left\{ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\epsilon}) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right\}
\]

\[+ C_1 (-\rho \overline{u'_i u'_k}) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{e} \right) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} - C_2 \rho \frac{\epsilon^2}{e} \]

where \(-\rho \overline{u'_i u'_k} = \mu_t [\partial u_i/\partial x_k + \partial u_k/\partial x_i]\) and \(\sigma_\epsilon \equiv \) turbulent Prandtl number for dissipation rate. It absorbs constants \(C_D\) and \(C_3\).
High $Re_t$ e-ε Model Eqns - L26($\frac{17}{20}$)

\[
\rho \frac{D e}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e}) \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_j} \right] + \mu_t \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right] \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \rho \epsilon
\]

\[
\rho \frac{D \epsilon}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e}) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + \frac{\epsilon}{e} \left\{ C_1 \mu_t \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right] \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - C_2 \rho \epsilon \right\}
\]

Boundary layer Forms

\[
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial e}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial e}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e}) \frac{\partial e}{\partial y} \right\} + \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \rho \epsilon
\]

\[
\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ (\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_e}) \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} \right\} + \frac{\epsilon}{e} \left\{ C_1 \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 - C_2 \rho \epsilon \right\}
\]
Determination of $C_1$, $C_2$ and $\sigma_\epsilon$ - L26($\frac{18}{20}$)

From the experimental data on decay of homogeneous turbulence behind a grid in a wind-tunnel, it is found that $e \propto t^{-n}$ where, for $t \to 0$, $1 < n < 1.2$. In this flow, both production and diffusion are absent and $\nu = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{De}{Dt} = \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial e}{\partial x} = -\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{D\epsilon}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x} = -C_2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{e}$$

Simultaneous solution gives $C_2 = (n + 1)/n$. Therefore, taking $n = 1.1$ (say), $C_2 = 1.91$.

To determine $C_1$, consider inner turbulent layer where conv = 0, $\nu_t >> \nu$ and production $\nu_t (\partial u/\partial y)^2 = \epsilon$ dissipation. Hence $\epsilon$

Eqn will read as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} \right\} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{e} (C_2 - C_1)$$
In the inner layer, logarithmic law \( u^+ = \ln (E y^+) / \kappa \) gives

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{u_\tau}{\kappa y} = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho \nu_t} = \frac{u_\tau^2}{\nu_t} \quad \rightarrow \quad \nu_t = \frac{u_\tau}{\kappa y}
\]

Hence, since \( \text{Prod} = \text{Diss} \)

\[
\epsilon = \nu_t \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 = \frac{u_\tau^3}{\kappa y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial y} = -\frac{u_\tau^3}{\kappa y^2}
\]

Therefore \( \epsilon \) Eqn becomes

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{u_\tau \kappa y}{\sigma_\epsilon} \times \left( -\frac{u_\tau^3}{\kappa y^2} \right) \right\} = \frac{u_\tau^4}{\sigma_\epsilon y^2} = \frac{u_\tau^6}{\kappa^2 y^2 e} \left( C_2 - C_1 \right)
\]

Or, \( \frac{\kappa^2}{\sigma_\epsilon} = (C_2 - C_1) \frac{u_\tau^2}{e} \quad \rightarrow \quad C_1 = C_2 - \frac{\kappa^2}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{C_D}} = 1.44 \)

where \( \sigma_\epsilon = 1.3 \) (from num. comp.), \( C_D = 0.09 \) and \( \kappa = 0.41 \).
Wall-Function BCs - L26(\(\frac{20}{20}\))

1. Wall BCs are given at 1st grid node in the inner turb layer

2. Then, \(\tau_w = \mu_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_p = \mu_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{u_p}{y_p} \right)\). Hence, \(\mu_{\text{eff}} / y_p = (\rho u_T \kappa) / \ln (E y_p^+)\)
   where \(u_T = C_D^{0.25} e_p^{0.5}\).

3. In e-Eqn \(\text{Prod} = \)

4. \(\bar{\epsilon}_p = y_p^{-1} \int_0^{y_p} \epsilon \, dy \)
   \(= y_p^{-1} \int_0^{y_p} \left( \frac{\tau_w}{\rho} \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \, dy \)
   \(= \left( \frac{u_T^2 u_p}{y_p} \right) / y_p \)
   \(= C_D^{0.75} e_p^{1.5} \ln (E y_p^+) / (\kappa y_p) \)

Gives computational economy.