

**Statistical Inference**  
**Test Set 3**

1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with density function  $f(x) = \frac{x}{\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta}\right\}$ ,  $x > 0, \theta > 0$ . Find FRC lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . Hence derive a UMVUE for  $\theta$ .

2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a discrete population with mass function

$$P(X = -1) = \frac{1-\theta}{2}, P(X = 0) = \frac{1}{2}, P(X = 1) = \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Find FRC lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . Show that the variance of the unbiased estimator  $\bar{X} + \frac{1}{2}$  is more than or equal to this bound.

3. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with density function  $f(x) = \theta(1+x)^{-(1+\theta)}$ ,  $x > 0, \theta > 0$ . Find FRC lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $1/\theta$ . Hence derive a UMVUE for  $1/\theta$ .

4. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a Pareto population with density

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\beta\alpha^\beta}{x^{\beta+1}}, \quad x > \alpha, \alpha > 0, \beta > 2.$$

Find a sufficient statistics when (i)  $\alpha$  is known, (ii) when  $\beta$  is known and (iii) when both  $\alpha, \beta$  are unknown.

5. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a Gamma ( $p, \lambda$ ) population. Find a sufficient statistics when (i)  $p$  is known, (ii) when  $\lambda$  is known and (iii) when both  $p, \lambda$  are unknown.

6. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a Beta ( $\lambda, \mu$ ) population. Find a sufficient statistics when (i)  $\mu$  is known, (ii) when  $\lambda$  is known and (iii) when both  $\lambda, \mu$  are unknown.

7. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a continuous population with density function

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{1+\theta}}, \quad x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

Find a minimal sufficient statistic.

8. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a double exponential population with the density  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x-\theta|}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find a minimal sufficient statistic.

9. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a discrete uniform population with pmf

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad x = 1, \dots, \theta,$$

where  $\theta$  is a positive integer. Find a minimal sufficient statistic.

10. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a geometric population with pmf  $f(x) = (1-p)^{x-1} p$ ,  $x = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ . Find a minimal sufficient statistic.
11. Let  $X$  have a  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  distribution. Show that  $X$  is not complete, but  $X^2$  is complete.
12. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from an exponential population with the density  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is complete.
13. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from an exponential population with the density  $f(x) = e^{\mu-x}$ ,  $x > \mu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $Y = X_{(1)}$  is complete.
14. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a  $N(\theta, \theta^2)$  population. Show that  $(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is minimal sufficient but not complete.

### Hints and Solutions

1.  $\log f(x|\theta) = \log x - \log \theta - \frac{x^2}{2\theta}.$

$$E\left(\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = E\left(\frac{X^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)^2 = \frac{E(X^4)}{4\theta^4} - \frac{E(X^2)}{\theta^3} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{8\theta^2}{4\theta^4} - \frac{2\theta}{\theta^3} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta^2}.$$

So FRC lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\frac{\theta^2}{n}.$

Further  $T = \frac{1}{2n} \sum X_i^2$  is unbiased for  $\theta$  and  $Var(T) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}.$  Hence  $T$  is UMVUE for  $\theta.$

2.  $E(X) = \theta - \frac{1}{2}.$  So  $T = \bar{X} + \frac{1}{2}$  is unbiased for  $\theta.$   $Var(T) = \frac{1+4\theta-4\theta^2}{4n}.$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} (\theta-1)^{-1}, & x = -1 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ \theta^{-1}, & x = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{So we get } E\left(\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\theta(1-\theta)}$$

The FRC lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\frac{2\theta(1-\theta)}{n}.$

It can be seen that  $Var(T) - \frac{2\theta(1-\theta)}{n} = \frac{(1-2\theta)^2}{4n} \geq 0.$

3. We have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} - \log(1+x).$  Further,  $E\{\log(1+X)\} = \theta^{-1},$  and

$$E\{\log(1+X)\}^2 = 2\theta^{-2}.$$
 So  $E\left(\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2},$  and FRC lower bound for the variance of

an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^{-1}$  is  $\frac{\theta^2}{n}.$  Now  $T = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log(1+X_i)$  is unbiased for  $\theta^{-1}$  and

$$Var(T) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}.$$

4. Using Factorization Theorem, we get sufficient statistics in each case as below

(i)  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , (ii)  $X_{(1)}$  (iii)  $\left(X_{(1)}, \prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$

5. Using Factorization Theorem, we get sufficient statistics in each case as below

(i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , (ii)  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  (iii)  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$

6. Using Factorization Theorem, we get sufficient statistics in each case as below

(i)  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , (ii)  $\prod_{i=1}^n (1-X_i)$  (iii)  $\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \prod_{i=1}^n (1-X_i)\right)$

7. Using Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem, a minimal sufficient statistic is  $\prod_{i=1}^n (1+X_i).$

8. Using Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem, a minimal sufficient statistic is the order statistics  $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}).$

9. Using Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem, a minimal sufficient statistic is the largest order statistics  $X_{(n)}$ .

10. Using Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem, a minimal sufficient statistic is  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

11. Since  $E(X) = 0$  for all  $\sigma > 0$ , but  $P(X = 0) = 1$  for all  $\sigma > 0$ . Hence  $X$  is not complete. Let  $W = X^2$ . The pdf of  $W$  is  $f_w(w) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi w}} e^{-w/2\sigma^2}$ ,  $w > 0$ .

Now  $E_\sigma g(W) = 0$  for all  $\sigma > 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^\infty g(w) w^{-1/2} e^{-w/2\sigma^2} dw = 0$  for all  $\sigma > 0$ . Uniqueness of the Laplace transform implies  $g(w) = 0$  a.e. Hence  $X^2$  is complete.

12. Note that  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  has a Gamma  $(n, \lambda)$  distribution. Now proceeding as in Problem 11, it can be proved that  $Y$  is complete.

13. Note that the density of  $Y = X_{(1)}$  is given by  $f_Y(y) = n e^{n(\mu-y)}$ ,  $x > \mu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$Eg(Y) = 0$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mu}^{\infty} n g(y) e^{n(\mu-y)} dy = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mu}^{\infty} g(y) e^{-ny} dy = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

Using Lebesgue integration theory we conclude that  $g(y) = 0$  a.e. Hence  $Y$  is complete.

14. Minimal sufficiency can be proved using Lehmann-Scheffe theorem. To see that

$(\bar{X}, S^2)$  is not complete, note that  $E\left(\frac{n}{n+1} \bar{X}^2 - S^2\right) = 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$ .

However,  $P\left(\frac{n}{n+1} \bar{X}^2 = S^2\right) = 0$ .